

Almost everywhere Hölder continuity of gradients to non-diagonal parabolic systems

Jan Burczak

Institute of Mathematics, Polish Academy of Sciences, Śniadeckich 8, 00-950 Warsaw.

Abstract

We present a local almost everywhere regularity result for a general nonlinear non-diagonal parabolic system, which main part depends on symmetric part of the gradient.

Keywords: nondiagonal parabolic systems, Hölder continuity of gradients, almost everywhere regularity, nonlinear caloric approximation

1. Introduction and main theorems

The problem of local Hölder continuity of gradients for the evolutionary p-Laplace system has been shown in a series of papers by DiBenedetto, summed up in a monograph [1]. From the perspective of mathematical physics, it is interesting to replace ∇u by its symmetric part $\mathbb{D}u = \frac{\nabla u + \nabla^T u}{2}$; then such system is a simplification of hydrodynamic model of non-Newtonian flow. In fact, for $p > \frac{11}{5}$, the generalization from p-Laplace system to hydrodynamic one is not essential (compare [9]). It turns out that amendment from ∇u to $\mathbb{D}u$ in p-Laplace system, a supposedly harmless one, changes dramatically the situation, as pointwise methods used for the full gradient case turn out to be useless. However, the caloric approximation approach can still be used to obtain almost everywhere regularity. We consider parabolic systems of the following type

$$u_{,t} - \operatorname{div} A(z, u, \mathbb{D}u) = 0 \quad (1.1)$$

which prototype is a following system

$$u_{,t} - \operatorname{div}[(1 + |\mathbb{D}u|^2)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \mathbb{D}u] = 0. \quad (1.2)$$

Generally, not much is known on regularity of such systems. In [10] an extensive short-time maximal regularity theory is presented. It is worth mentioning that for $p \in ()$, there is an almost-everywhere regularity result for a complete hydrodynamic system in [12]. In this note we follow the theory successfully developed by Mingione and coauthors in [4], [5] to derive results in the full-gradient case for very general main parts. At many points we could have merely quote the respective result from [5], but we present most of the proofs for reader's convenience.

Let us present list of assumptions for the studied generalization (1.1) to (1.2). We denote $z = (x, t) \in \Omega \times (-T, 0) := \Omega^T$,

- for any symmetric tensor $\xi \in \operatorname{Sym}^{M \times M}$, A is elliptic in a following sense

$$A(z, u, \xi) \xi \geq \lambda |\xi|^p \quad (1.3)$$

$$\frac{\partial A}{\partial q}(z, u, q) \xi \cdot \xi \geq \lambda (1 + |q|^2)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} |\xi|^2 \quad (1.4)$$

Email address: `jb@impan.pl` (Jan Burczak)

- A and its linear part are symmetrizing

$$A(z, u, \xi^s) \eta = A(z, u, \xi^s) \eta^s \quad (1.5)$$

$$\frac{\partial A}{\partial q}(z, u, q) \xi^s \cdot \eta = \frac{\partial A}{\partial q}(z, u, q) \xi^s \eta^s \quad (1.6)$$

where $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{M \times M}$, $\xi^s = \frac{\xi + \xi^T}{2}$ (In (1.5), (1.6) inequality $\geq$ would be sufficient)

- $\frac{\partial A}{\partial q}$ is continuous, as a consequence one has for $|u| + |q| + |u - \tilde{u}| + |q - \tilde{q}| \leq M$

$$\left| \frac{\partial A}{\partial q}(z, u, q) - \frac{\partial A}{\partial \tilde{q}}(\tilde{z}, \tilde{u}, \tilde{q}) \right| \leq C(M) \omega(M, d_p^p(z - \tilde{z}) + |u - \tilde{u}|^p + |q - \tilde{q}|^p) \quad (1.7)$$

with parabolic metric $d_p(z - z_0) = |x - x_0| + |t - t_0|^{\frac{1}{p}}$ and local modulus of continuity ω satisfying: $\omega(\cdot, s)$, $\omega(t, \cdot)$ are nondecreasing, $\omega(t, 0) = 0$, $\omega^p(t, \cdot)$ is concave

- A grows as follows

$$|A(z, u, q)| \leq L(1 + |q|^{p-1}) \quad (1.8)$$

$$|q| \leq M \implies \left| \frac{\partial A}{\partial q}(z, u, q) \right| \leq L_M \quad (1.9)$$

$$|q| \leq M \implies \left| \frac{\partial A}{\partial u}(z, u, q) \right| \leq L_M \quad (1.9')$$

$$|q| \leq M \implies \left| \frac{\partial A}{\partial t}(z, u, q) \right| \leq L_M \quad (1.9'')$$

- and differences of A satisfy

$$|A(z, u, q) - A(\tilde{z}, \tilde{u}, q)| \leq K(|u|)(d_p(z - \tilde{z}) + |u - \tilde{u}|)^\beta (1 + |q|^{p-1}) \quad (1.10)$$

where $\beta \in (0, 1)$, K is non-decreasing;

$$|A(z, u, q) - A(z, u, \tilde{q})| \leq L(1 + |q - \tilde{q}|^{p-2}) \quad (1.11)$$

Theorem 1.1. Weak solution $u \in L^p(W^{1,p})$ to the system (1.1) with structure (1.3 - 1.9), (1.10), (1.11) and (2.20)–(2.22) (for its linear part $\frac{\partial A}{\partial p}$) has a.e. Hölder continuous symmetric gradients, i.e.

$$\mathbb{D}u \in C^{\beta, \frac{\beta}{2}}(\tilde{Q}_T) \quad \tilde{Q}_T \subset Q_T \quad |\tilde{Q}_T| = |Q_T|$$

moreover under additional assumptions (1.9'), (1.9'') for $n \leq 3$ holds

$$\nabla u \in C^{\tilde{\beta}, \frac{\tilde{\beta}}{2}}(\tilde{Q}_T) \quad \tilde{Q}_T \subset Q_T \quad |\tilde{Q}_T| = |Q_T|$$

with $\tilde{\beta} = \min(\beta, 2 - \frac{n}{2})$

Next let us list some useful general facts. We begin with a Simon-type compactness result for parabolic spaces, which can be found as Theorem 2.5 in [5]

Lemma 1.2. Take three Banach spaces $X \subset\subset Y \subset Z$ and sequence g_k , which is uniformly bounded in $L^p(-T, 0; X)$ and satisfies

$$\forall_{\varepsilon > 0} \exists_{h'} \forall_{h \leq h'} \int_{-1}^{-h} |g_k(\cdot, t+h) - g_k(\cdot, t)|_Z^p \leq \varepsilon \quad (1.12)$$

then g_k contains a subsequence convergent in the space $L^p(-T, 0; Y)$.

Lemma 1.3. *Let $u \in L^s(Q(z_0))$, $s \geq 2$. There is an unique minimizer $l_\varrho^{(s)}(x)$ to $\int_{Q_\varrho(z_0)} |u - l|^s$ among affine, time-independent functions l*

$$l_\varrho^{(2)}(x) = (u)_{z_0, \varrho} + \underbrace{\left[\frac{n+2}{\varrho^2} \int_{Q_\varrho(z_0)} u(x, t) \otimes (x - x_0) dx dt \right]}_{Q_{z_0, \varrho}^{(2)}} (x - x_0) \quad (1.13)$$

which linear part $Q_{z_0, \varrho}^{(2)}$ is close to $(\nabla u)_{z_0, \varrho}$

$$|Q_{z_0, \varrho}^{(2)} - (\nabla u)_{z_0, \varrho}|^2 \leq \frac{n(n+2)}{\varrho^2} \int_{Q_\varrho(z_0)} |u - (u)_{z_0, \varrho} - (\nabla u)_{z_0, \varrho}(x - x_0)|^2 \quad (1.14)$$

and shrinks as follows:

$$|Q_{z_0, \theta\varrho}^{(2)} - Q_{z_0, \varrho}^{(2)}|^2 \leq \frac{n(n+2)}{(\theta\varrho)^2} \int_{Q_\varrho(z_0)} |u - l_\varrho|^2 \quad (1.15)$$

moreover, the minimizer for the general case holds:

$$\int_{Q_\varrho} |l_\varrho^{(2)}(z_0) - l_\varrho^{(s)}(z_0)|^s \leq \int_{Q_\varrho} |u - l_\varrho^{(s)}(z_0)|^s \quad (1.16)$$

For proof see [5] Lemma 2.1; the last inequality can be found in proof of Lemma 4.8. Compare also [7].

Lemma 1.4. *(Korn's inequality) For $u \in W^{1,p}(B)$ holds with K_p independent on B*

$$K_p \left[\int_B |u|^2 + |\mathbb{D}u|^2 \right] \geq \int_B |\nabla u|^2 \quad (1.17)$$

$$K \int_B |\mathbb{D}u - (\mathbb{D}u)|^2 \geq \int_B |\nabla u - (\nabla u)|^2 \geq \int_B |\mathbb{D}u - (\mathbb{D}u)|^2 \quad (1.18)$$

Proof. First inequality is standard (see [9]). For second we use inequality:

$$K \int_B |\mathbb{D}h|^2 \geq \inf_{R \in \mathcal{R}} \int_B |\nabla[h - R]|^2 \quad (1.19)$$

see [3], where $\mathcal{R}$ is set of rigid motions, i.e. affine functions with antisymmetric linear part. (1.19) with $h := u - (\mathbb{D}u)(x - x_0)$ yields:

$$K \int_B |\mathbb{D}u - (\mathbb{D}u)|^2 \geq \inf_{R \in \mathcal{R}} \int_B |\nabla u - (\mathbb{D}u) - R|^2 \geq \int_B |\nabla u - (\nabla u)|^2$$

we have also pointwise $\nabla h|^2 \geq |\mathbb{D}h|^2$, so for $h := u - (\nabla u)(x - x_0)$ it gives

$$\int_B |\nabla u - (\nabla u)|^2 \geq \int_B |\mathbb{D}u - (\mathbb{D}u)|^2$$

The independence of K on B (its size) comes from invariance of K under dilations □

2. Linear theory

In this section we collect needed results on linear systems.

Lemma 2.1. *Consider initial boundary-value problem in Ω_T*

$$\begin{cases} v_{,t} + A(\nabla^2)v = f \\ v(x, 0) = v_0(x) \\ v|_{\partial\Omega \times T} = a(x, t). \end{cases} \quad (2.1)$$

where $A(\frac{\partial}{\partial x})$ is a matrix second-order differential operator such that

$$C^{-1}|\xi|^2|\eta|^2 \leq A(i\xi)\eta \cdot \eta \leq C|\xi|^2|\eta|^2 \quad (2.2)$$

then exists unique solution to (2.1) and:

$$|v|_{W_p^{2k,k}} \leq C \left[|f|_{W_p^{2k-2,k-1}(\Omega_T)} + |v_0|_{W_p^{2k-\frac{2}{p}}(\Omega_T)} + |a_T|_{W_p^{2k-\frac{1}{p},k-\frac{1}{2p}}(\partial\Omega_T)} + \int_0^T |a \cdot n|_{W_p^{2k-\frac{1}{p}}(\partial\Omega)} \right] \quad (2.3)$$

provided r.h.s. of (2.3) is meaningful.

For $k = 1$ this maximal regularity result can be shown similarly as result [13] As the main part operator is independent on x, t , derivatives of v solve system with the same main part, so we can derive result for arbitrary k . For details consult [6]

Corollary 2.2. *Assume that $u \in W_2^{2,1}(Q_T)$ satisfies (2.1), (2.2) with $f \in C^\infty$, then u is locally smooth.*

Proof. $\tilde{u} := u\eta$ where $\eta \in C_0^\infty$, $\eta = 1$ on $\tilde{Q}_T \subset\subset Q_T$ satisfies:

$$\begin{cases} \tilde{u}_{,t} + A(x, t, \nabla^2)\tilde{u} = f\eta + u\eta_{,t} + \tilde{A}(x, t)[\nabla u \nabla \eta + u \nabla^2 \eta] = \tilde{f} \\ \tilde{u}(x, 0) = u|_{\partial\Omega \times T} = 0 \end{cases}$$

for $u \in W_2^{2,1}(Q_T)$, $\tilde{f} \in W_2^{1,1}(Q_T) \Rightarrow u \in W_2^{3,\frac{3}{2}}(\tilde{Q}_T)$. Taking $\tilde{\tilde{Q}}_T \subset \tilde{Q}_T$ we have

$$\begin{cases} \tilde{\tilde{f}} \in W_2^{2,1}(\tilde{\tilde{Q}}_T) \\ \tilde{\tilde{u}}(x, 0) = 0 \end{cases} \Rightarrow u \in W_2^{4,2}(\tilde{\tilde{Q}}_T).$$

Further iteration gives thesis (we cutoff again) □

Let us state the above mentioned results in a form convenient for further analysis

Corollary 2.3. *Let A be a constant coefficient matrix $AM = a_{kl}^{ij}m_{kl}$ such that*

$$a_{kl}^{ij}\xi_l\xi_j\eta^k\eta^i + a_{kl}^{ij}\xi_k\xi_j\eta^l\eta^i \geq \lambda|\eta|^2|\xi|^2 \quad \forall_{\eta, \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n} \quad (2.4)$$

(i) *Let $u \in L^2(W^{1,2})$ satisfy:*

$$\int_{Q_T} u\phi_{,t} - \int_{Q_T} A(\mathbb{D}u, \mathbb{D}\varphi) = 0 \quad \forall_{\varphi \in C_0^\infty(Q_T)}$$

then $u \in C^\infty(\tilde{Q}_T)$, where $\tilde{Q}_T$ is any subcylinder $\tilde{Q}_T \subset\subset Q_T$

(ii) Consider initial boundary-value problem

$$\begin{cases} u_{,t} - \operatorname{div} \mathbb{D}u = f \\ u(x, 0) = u_0(x) \\ u|_{\partial\Omega \times T} = a(x, t). \end{cases} \quad (2.5)$$

then exists unique solution to (2.1) and:

$$|u|_{W_p^{2k,k}} \leq C \left[|f|_{W_p^{2k-2,k-1}(\Omega_T)} + |u_0|_{W_p^{2k-\frac{2}{p}}(\Omega_T)} + |a_\tau|_{W_p^{2k-\frac{1}{p},k-\frac{1}{2p}}(\partial\Omega_T)} + \int_0^T |a \cdot n|_{W_p^{2k-\frac{1}{p}}(\partial\Omega)} \right] \quad (2.6)$$

provided r.h.s. of (2.6) is meaningful

Proof. (2.4) $\Leftrightarrow$ (2.2) so Lemma 2.1 gives point (ii). Corollary 2.2 gives point (i), provided we can perform the step $u \in L^2(W^{1,2}) \implies u \in W_2^{2,1}(\tilde{Q}_T)$. This can be done by difference quotients with respect to time and space. $\square$

Lemma 2.4. Let $u \in C^\infty(Q)$ be a smooth local solution to the following system with constant coefficients

$$u_{,t} - \operatorname{div} A(\mathbb{D}u) = 0, \quad \text{where} \quad AM = a_{kl}^{ij} m_{kl} \quad (2.7)$$

where the main part operator is symmetrizing¹ (i.e. $A\xi^s \eta = A\xi^s \eta^s$), $a_{kl}^{ij} = a_{ij}^{kl} = a_{lk}^{ji}$ and elliptic $A\xi^s \xi^s \geq \lambda |\xi^s|^2$

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \int_{Q(\varrho)} |u|^2 &\leq C \int_{Q(r)} |u|^2, \\ \int_{Q(\varrho)} |u - (u)_{Q(\varrho)}|^2 &\leq C \left(\frac{\varrho}{r} \right)^2 \int_{Q(r)} |u|^2 \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (2.8)$$

for $Q(r) \subset Q$, $\varrho \in (0, \frac{r}{2})$, where $Q(p) = B_p \times (-p^2, 0)$, z_0 arbitrary point in $Q(\varrho)$.

Proof. (Compare [2]). Take smooth cutoff functions

$$[0, 1] \ni \theta(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & B_{\frac{r}{2}} \\ 0 & B_r^c \end{cases} \quad |\nabla \theta| \leq \frac{4}{r}$$

$$[0, 1] \ni \sigma(t) = \begin{cases} 1 & t \in (-\frac{r}{2}^2, 0), \\ 0 & t \leq -r^2 \end{cases} \quad |\sigma_{,t}| \leq \frac{2}{r^2}$$

we test (2.8) with $\theta^2 \sigma^2 u$, which yields:

(i) for the main part:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 a_{kl}^{ij} (u_l^k + u_k^l) (\theta^2 u^i)_{,j} &= \frac{1}{2} a_l^{ij} (u_l^k + u_k^l) [\theta (\theta u^i)_{,j} + \theta_{,j} \theta u^i] \\ &= \frac{\sigma^2}{2} a_{kl}^{ij} [(u\theta)_{,l}^k + (u\theta)_{,k}^l] (\theta u^i)_{,j} - \frac{\sigma^2}{2} a_{kl}^{ij} (u\theta_{,l} + u\theta_{,k}) (\theta u^i)_{,j} + \frac{\sigma^2}{2} a_{kl}^{ij} (u_l^k + u_k^l) \theta u^i \theta_{,j} \\ &\geq \lambda |\mathbb{D}(u\sigma\theta)|^2 + \frac{\sigma^2 \theta}{2} [a_{kl}^{ij} (u_l^k + u_k^l) u^i \theta_{,j} - a_{kl}^{ij} (u^k \theta_{,l} + u^l \theta_{,k}) u_{,j}^i] - C |\sigma^2| |\nabla \theta|^2 |u|^2 \end{aligned} \quad (2.9)$$

¹In view of the following computation, it suffices to assume $a_{kl}^{ij} [\xi_l^k \eta^i \tilde{\eta}^j + \xi_k^l \eta^i \tilde{\eta}^j - \xi_j^i \eta^k \tilde{\eta}^l - \xi_j^i \eta^l \tilde{\eta}^k] = 0$. Observe that assumption $a_{kl}^{ij} = a_{lk}^{ji}$ implies the property of A being symmetrizing, because:

$$A(\xi^s \eta) = a_{kl}^{ij} (\xi^s)_l^k \eta_j^i = a_{lk}^{ji} (\xi^s)_l^k \eta_j^i = a_{lk}^{ji} (\xi^s)_k^l \eta_j^i = a_{kl}^{ij} (\xi^s)_l^k \eta_i^j.$$

and the middle part vanishes because $a_{kl}^{ij} = a_{ij}^{kl} = a_{lk}^{ji}$

$$a_{kl}^{ij} u_l^k u^i \theta_{,j} + a_{kl}^{ij} u_k^l u^i \theta_{,j} - \underbrace{a_{kl}^{ij} u_j^i u^k \theta_{,l}}_{=a_{ij}^{kl} u_l^k u^i \theta_{,j}} - \underbrace{a_{kl}^{ij} u_j^i u^l \theta_{,k}}_{=a_{ji}^{lk} u_k^l u^i \theta_{,j}} = \underbrace{(a_{kl}^{ij} - a_{ij}^{kl})}_{=0} u_l^k u^i \theta_{,j} + \underbrace{(a_{kl}^{ij} - a_{lk}^{ji})}_{=0} u_k^l u^i \theta_{,j}$$

(ii) for the evolutionary part:

$$u_{,t} \theta^2 \sigma^2 u = \frac{1}{2} (u^2 \theta^2 \sigma^2)_{,t} - u^2 \theta^2 \sigma_{,t} \sigma \quad (2.10)$$

By (2.9) and (2.10) integrated over $Q(r)$ we have:

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{Q(r)} u^2 \theta^2 \sigma^2 + \lambda \int_{Q(r)} |\mathbb{D}(u\theta\sigma)|^2 \leq \frac{c}{r^2} \int_{Q(r)} |u|^2$$

as $\frac{d}{dt} \int_{Q(r)} u^2 \theta^2 \sigma^2 = \int_{B(r)} u^2(x, 0) \theta^2(x) dx \geq 0$, we have

$$\int_{Q(\frac{r}{2})} |\nabla u|^2 \leq \int_{Q(\frac{r}{2})} |\mathbb{D}u|^2 + \int_{Q(\frac{r}{2})} |u|^2 \leq \frac{c}{r^2} \int_{Q(r)} |u|^2 \quad (2.11)$$

as arbitrary derivative of u satisfies (2.8), one can iterate (2.11) obtaining

$$|u|_{H^k(Q(\frac{r}{2}))}^2 \leq c(r, k) |u|_{L^2(Q(r))}^2. \quad (2.12)$$

so from Sobolev imbedding, for k big enough, holds

$$\sup_{Q(\frac{r}{2})} |u|^2 \leq c_1(r) |u|_{L^2(Q(r))}^2. \quad (2.13)$$

$$\sup_{Q(\frac{r}{2})} (|\nabla u|^2 + |u_{,t}|^2) \leq c_2(r) |u|_{L^2(Q(r))}^2 \quad (2.14)$$

The bounds for time derivative come from equation. Moreover:

$$\int_{Q(\varrho)} |u|^2 \leq |Q(\varrho)| \sup_{Q(\frac{\varrho}{2})} |u|^2 \quad (2.15)$$

$$\int_{Q(\varrho)} |u - u(z_0)|^2 \leq \varrho^2 |Q(\varrho)| \sup_{Q(\frac{\varrho}{2})} (|\nabla u|^2 + |u_{,t}|^2) \quad (2.16)$$

for $r \leq 2$ and z_0 - arbitrary point in $Q(\varrho)$

$$\int_{Q(\varrho)} |u - (u)_{Q(\varrho)}|^2 \leq \varrho^2 |Q(\varrho)| \sup_{Q(\frac{\varrho}{2})} (|\nabla u|^2 + |u_{,t}|^2) \quad (2.17)$$

by Poincaré inequality for $\varrho \leq 1$.

Inequalities (2.13)–(2.17) yield:

$$\int_{Q(\varrho)} |u|^2 \leq c_1(r) \int_{Q(r)} |u|^2 \quad (2.18)$$

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \int_{Q(\varrho)} |u - u(z_0)|^2 \\ \int_{Q(\varrho)} |u - (u)_{Q(\varrho)}|^2 \end{aligned} \right\} \leq \varrho^2 c_1(r) \int_{Q(r)} |u|^2 \quad (2.19)$$

To finish, we use scaling, $v(y, z) = u(ry, r^2 z)$ solves (2.8) in $Q(1)$, provided $u(x, t)$ solves (2.8) in $Q(r)$, so (2.18)–(2.19) give:

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \int_{Q(\frac{\varrho}{r})} |v|^2 dy dz \leq c_1(1) \int_{Q(1)} |v|^2 \\ \int_{Q(\frac{\varrho}{r})} |v - v(\tilde{z}_0)|^2 \\ \int_{Q(\frac{\varrho}{r})} |v - (v)_{Q(\frac{\varrho}{r})}|^2 \end{aligned} \right\} \leq \left(\frac{\varrho}{r}\right)^2 c_1(1) \int_{Q(1)} |v|^2$$

by change of variables $y = \frac{x}{r}$, $z = \frac{t}{r^2}$ we have thesis. $\square$

Lemma 2.4 and point (i) of Corollary 2.3 give

Corollary 2.5. *Let $u \in L^2(W^{1,2})$ be the local solution to $u_t - \operatorname{div} A(\mathbb{D}u) = 0$, i.e let it satisfy*

$$\int_{Q_T} u \varphi_t - \int_{Q_T} A(\mathbb{D}u, \mathbb{D}\phi) = 0 \quad \forall \varphi \in C_0^\infty(Q_T)$$

where for constant coefficient matrix A , $AM = a_{kl}^{ij} m_{kl}$, holds :

$$a_{kl}^{ij} = a_{ij}^{kl} = a_{lk}^{ji} \quad (2.20)$$

$$a_{kl}^{ij} \xi_l \xi_j \eta^k \eta^i + a_{kl}^{ij} \xi_k \xi_j \eta^l \eta^i \geq \lambda |\eta|^2 |\xi|^2 \quad \forall \eta, \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n \quad (2.21)$$

$$A \xi^s \xi^s \geq \lambda |\xi^s|^2 \quad \forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} \quad (2.22)$$

then u is locally smooth and satisfies (2.8).

3. Linearization

Definition 3.1.

$$\phi_{p, z_0, l}(\varrho) = \int_{Q_{z_0}(\varrho)} |\mathbb{D}u - \mathbb{D}l|^p, \quad \psi_{p, z_0, l}(\varrho) = \int_{Q_{z_0}(\varrho)} \left| \frac{u - l}{\varrho} \right|^p \quad (3.23)$$

we often drop certain parameters, writing for example $\phi_p(\varrho), \psi_p(\varrho)$, when their choice is standard.

Lemma 3.1 (linearization). *For $u \in L^p(W^{1,p})$ solving in Ω (1.1) with structure: (1.5), (1.6), (1.7), (1.8), (1.9), (1.10) for any $M > 0$, $Q_\varrho(z_0) \subset \Omega$, $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(Q_\varrho(z_0))$, affine function $l(z) = l(x)$ such that $|l(z_0)| + |\nabla l| \leq M$ we have:*

$$\left| \int_{Q_\varrho(z_0)} (u - l) \varphi_t - \frac{\partial A}{\partial q}(z_0, l(z_0), \mathbb{D}l)(\mathbb{D}u - \mathbb{D}l) \mathbb{D}\varphi \right| \leq c_M \left[\omega(M + 1, \phi_p) \phi_2^{\frac{1}{2}} + \phi_p + \psi_2 + \varrho^\beta \right] \sup_{Q_\varrho(z_0)} |\mathbb{D}\varphi| \quad (3.1)$$

Proof. (compare [4])

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= \int_{Q_\varrho(z_0)} u\varphi_{,t} - A(z, u, \mathbb{D}u) \mathbb{D}\varphi = \int_{Q_\varrho(z_0)} (u - l)\varphi_{,t} \\
&\quad + \int_{Q_\varrho(z_0)} [-A(z, u, \mathbb{D}u) \pm A(z_0, l(z_0), \mathbb{D}u) \pm \frac{\partial A}{\partial q}(z_0, l(z_0), \mathbb{D}l)(\mathbb{D}u - \mathbb{D}l)] \cdot \mathbb{D}\varphi
\end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{Q_\varrho(z_0)} (u - l)\varphi_{,t} - \frac{\partial A}{\partial q}(z_0, l(z_0), \mathbb{D}l)(\mathbb{D}u - \mathbb{D}l) \mathbb{D}\varphi = \int_{Q_\varrho(z_0)} [A(z, u, \mathbb{D}u) - A(z_0, l(z_0), \mathbb{D}u)] \mathbb{D}\varphi \\
&\quad + \int_{Q_\varrho(z_0)} \left[A(z_0, l(z_0), \mathbb{D}u) - \frac{\partial A}{\partial q}(z_0, l(z_0), \mathbb{D}l) \cdot (\mathbb{D}u - \mathbb{D}l) \right] \mathbb{D}\varphi.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

First we estimate terms containing $\frac{\partial A}{\partial q}$ in (3.2). It holds

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{Q_\varrho(z_0)} \left[A(z_0, l(z_0), \mathbb{D}u) - \frac{\partial A}{\partial q}(z_0, l(z_0), \mathbb{D}l)(\mathbb{D}u - \mathbb{D}l) \right] \mathbb{D}\varphi \\
&= \int_{Q_\varrho(z_0)} \int_0^1 \left[\frac{\partial A}{\partial q}(z_0, l(z_0), \mathbb{D}l + \tau(\mathbb{D}u - \mathbb{D}l)) - \frac{\partial A}{\partial q}(z_0, l(z_0), \mathbb{D}l) \right] (\mathbb{D}u - \mathbb{D}l) \mathbb{D}\varphi d\tau
\end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

as $\int_0^1 \frac{\partial A}{\partial q}(\cdot, \tau x) \cdot x = A(\cdot, x) - A(\cdot, 0)$ and $A(\cdot, 0) = \int_{Q_\varrho(z_0)} A(z_0, l(z_0), \mathbb{D}l) \mathbb{D}\varphi = 0$.

We split $Q_\varrho(z_0)$ into $Q_\varrho(z_0) \wedge \{|\mathbb{D}u - \mathbb{D}l| \leq 1\} = Q_\varrho^s(z_0)$, $Q_\varrho(z_0) \wedge \{|\mathbb{D}u - \mathbb{D}l| > 1\} = Q_\varrho^b(z_0)$.

(i) For $Q_\varrho^s(z_0)$: $|l(z_0)| + |\mathbb{D}l| + |\mathbb{D}u - \mathbb{D}l| \leq M + 1$, so by (1.7)

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left| \int_0^1 \left(\frac{\partial A}{\partial q}(z_0, l(z_0), \mathbb{D}l + \tau(\mathbb{D}u - \mathbb{D}l)) - \frac{\partial A}{\partial q}(z_0, l(z_0), \mathbb{D}l) \right) (\mathbb{D}u - \mathbb{D}l) \mathbb{D}\varphi d\tau \right| \\
&\leq C(M) \omega(M + 1, |\mathbb{D}u - \mathbb{D}l|^p) |\mathbb{D}u - \mathbb{D}l| |\mathbb{D}\varphi|
\end{aligned}$$

therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left| \frac{1}{|Q_\varrho|} \int_{Q_\varrho^s(z_0)} (A(z_0, l(z_0), \mathbb{D}u) - \frac{\partial A}{\partial q}(z_0, l(z_0), \mathbb{D}l)(\mathbb{D}u - \mathbb{D}l) \mathbb{D}\varphi \right| \\
&\leq \left[\frac{1}{|Q_\varrho|} \int_{Q_\varrho^s(z_0)} C(M) \omega^p(M + 1, |\mathbb{D}u - \mathbb{D}l|^p) \right]^{1/p} \left[\frac{1}{|Q_\varrho|} \int_{Q_\varrho^s(z_0)} |\mathbb{D}u - \mathbb{D}l|^{p'} \right]^{1/p'} \sup_{Q_\varrho(z_0)} |\mathbb{D}\varphi| \\
&\leq C(M) \omega \left(M + 1, \int_{Q_\varrho(z_0)} |\mathbb{D}u - \mathbb{D}l|^p \right) \cdot \left(\int_{Q_\varrho(z_0)} |\mathbb{D}u - \mathbb{D}l|^{p'} \right)^{1/p'} \sup_{Q_\varrho(z_0)} |\mathbb{D}\varphi| = \\
&C(M) \omega(M + 1, \phi_p) \phi_p^{1/p'} \sup_{Q_\varrho(z_0)} |\mathbb{D}\varphi| \leq C(M) \omega(M + 1, \phi_p) \phi_2^{1/2} \sup_{Q_\varrho(z_0)} |\mathbb{D}\varphi|
\end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

by concavity of $\omega^p(t, \cdot)$.

(ii) For $Q_\varrho^b(z_0)$ one has

$$|Q_\varrho^b(z_0)| \leq \int_{Q_\varrho^b(z_0)} |\mathbb{D}u - \mathbb{D}l| \leq \left(\int_{Q_\varrho^b(z_0)} |\mathbb{D}u - \mathbb{D}l|^s \right)^{1/s} |Q_\varrho^b|^{1/s'} \leq \left(\int_{Q_\varrho^b(z_0)} |\mathbb{D}u - \mathbb{D}l|^s \right)^{1/s} |Q_\varrho^b(z_0)|^{1/s'} |Q_\varrho|^{1/s} \quad (3.6)$$

By assumption $|\mathbb{D}l| + |l(z_0)| \leq M$ and (1.8), (1.9):

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{|Q_\varrho|} \int_{Q_\varrho^b(z_0)} \left[A(z_0, l(z_0), \mathbb{D}u) - \frac{\partial A}{\partial p}(z_0, l(z_0), \mathbb{D}l)(\mathbb{D}u - \mathbb{D}l) \right] \mathbb{D}\varphi \\ & \leq \sup_{Q_\varrho(z_0)} |\mathbb{D}\varphi| \frac{C(M)}{|Q_\varrho|} \int_{Q_\varrho^b(z_0)} (1 + |\mathbb{D}u|^{p-1}) + |\mathbb{D}u - \mathbb{D}l| \leq \sup_{Q_\varrho(z_0)} |\mathbb{D}\varphi| \frac{C(M)}{|Q_\varrho|} \int_{Q_\varrho^b} 1 + |\mathbb{D}u - \mathbb{D}l|^{p-1} \\ & \leq C(M) \sup_{Q_\varrho(z_0)} |\mathbb{D}\varphi| \left[\frac{|Q_\varrho^b|}{|Q_\varrho|} + \left(\int_{Q_\varrho} |\mathbb{D}u - \mathbb{D}l|^p \right)^{1/p'} \frac{|Q_\varrho^b(z_0)|^{1/p}}{|Q_\varrho|^{1/p}} \right] \\ & \leq C(M) \sup_{Q_\varrho(z_0)} |\mathbb{D}\varphi| \left[\int_{Q_\varrho^b} |\mathbb{D}u - \mathbb{D}l|^2 + \int_{Q_\varrho} |\mathbb{D}u - \mathbb{D}l|^p \right] \leq C(M) \sup_{Q_\varrho(z_0)} |\mathbb{D}\varphi| \phi_p \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

the last but one inequality holds in view of (3.6) with $s = 2$ and $s = p$
It remains to estimate the first term in (3.2)

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_{Q_\varrho(z_0)} (A(z, u, \mathbb{D}u) - A(z_0, l(z_0), \mathbb{D}u)) \mathbb{D}\varphi \right| \leq \sup_{Q_\varrho(z_0)} |\mathbb{D}\varphi| K(|l(z_0)|) \int_{Q_\varrho(z_0)} (|z - z_0| + |u - l(z_0)|)^\beta (1 + |\mathbb{D}u|^{p-1}) \\ & \leq \sup_{Q_\varrho(z_0)} |\mathbb{D}\varphi| K(M) \int_{Q_\varrho(z_0)} (\varrho^\beta + |u - l|^\beta + |l - l(z_0)|^\beta) (1 + |\mathbb{D}u - \mathbb{D}l|^{p-1} + |\mathbb{D}l|^{p-1}) \\ & \leq \sup_{Q_\varrho(z_0)} |\mathbb{D}\varphi| K(M) \int_{Q_\varrho(z_0)} (\varrho^\beta + |u - l|^\beta + (|\nabla l| |z - z_0|)^\beta) (M^{p-1} + 1 + |\mathbb{D}u - \mathbb{D}l|^{p-1}) \\ & \leq \sup_{Q_\varrho(z_0)} |\mathbb{D}\varphi| C(M) \left[\int_{Q_\varrho(z_0)} (\varrho^\beta + |u - l|^\beta + (M\rho)^\beta) (M^{p-1} + 1 + |\mathbb{D}u - \mathbb{D}l|^{p-1}) \right] \\ & \leq \sup_{Q_\varrho(z_0)} |\mathbb{D}\varphi| C(M) \varrho^\beta \left[1 + \int_{Q_\varrho(z_0)} |\mathbb{D}u - \mathbb{D}l|^{p-1} + \left| \frac{u - l}{\varrho} \right|^{p\beta} + |\mathbb{D}u - \mathbb{D}l|^p + \left| \frac{u - l}{\rho} \right|^\beta \right] \\ & \leq \sup_{Q_\varrho(z_0)} |\mathbb{D}\varphi| C(M) \varrho^\beta [1 + \psi_2 + \phi_p] \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

where we use (1.10). Observe that we need also bound $|\nabla l| \leq M$.
Inequalities (3.5), (3.7), (3.8) give (3.1). $\square$

4. Energy estimate

Lemma 4.1. (*Caccioppoli inequality*) Let u be a weak solution to (1.1) with structure condition (1.4), (1.11), (1.10) and let l be affine function depending only on x and satisfying $|l(z_0)| + |\nabla l| \leq M$. Then

$$\sup_{t \in (t_0 - (\frac{\varrho}{2})^2, t_0)} |u - l|_{L^2(B_{\frac{\varrho}{2}})}^2 + \int_{Q_{\frac{\varrho}{2}}(z_0)} |\mathbb{D}u - \mathbb{D}l|^2 + |\mathbb{D}u - \mathbb{D}l|^p \leq C_M \left[\int_{Q_{\varrho}(z_0)} \left| \frac{u-l}{\varrho} \right|^2 + \int_{Q_{\varrho}(z_0)} \left| \frac{u-l}{\varrho} \right|^p + \varrho^{2\beta} \right] \quad (4.1)$$

where β comes from (1.10)

Proof. We perform the proof in two steps. First we show that inequality

$$\int_{Q_{\frac{\varrho}{2}}(z_0)} |\mathbb{D}u - \mathbb{D}l|^2 + \int_{Q_{\frac{\varrho}{2}}(z_0)} |\mathbb{D}u - \mathbb{D}l|^p \leq C_M \left[\int_{Q_{\varrho}(z_0)} \left| \frac{u-l}{\varrho} \right|^2 + \int_{Q_{\varrho}(z_0)} \left| \frac{u-l}{\varrho} \right|^p + \varrho^{2\beta} \right] \quad (4.2)$$

holds, next that also holds

$$\sup_{t \in (t_0 - (\frac{\varrho}{2})^2, t_0)} |u - l|_{L^2(B_{\frac{\varrho}{2}})}^2 \leq C_M \left[\int_{Q_{\varrho}(z_0)} \left| \frac{u-l}{\varrho} \right|^2 + \int_{Q_{\varrho}(z_0)} \left| \frac{u-l}{\varrho} \right|^p + \varrho^{2\beta} \right]. \quad (4.3)$$

Take smooth cutoff functions $\theta(x)$ and $\sigma(t)$

$$[0, 1] \ni \theta(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & B_{\varrho/2}(z_0) \\ 0 & B_{\varrho}^c(z_0) \end{cases} \quad |\nabla \theta| \leq \frac{4}{\varrho} \quad (4.4)$$

$$[0, 1] \ni \sigma(t) = \begin{cases} 1 & (t_0 - \frac{\varrho^2}{4}, t_0 - \varepsilon) \\ 0 & (t_0 - \varrho^2, t_0)^c \end{cases} \quad \begin{matrix} |\sigma, t| \leq \frac{2}{\varrho^2} & (t_0 - \varrho^2, t_0 - \frac{\varrho^2}{4}) \\ \sigma, t < 0 & (t_0 - \varepsilon, t_0) \end{matrix} \quad (4.5)$$

where $\varepsilon \in (0, \frac{\varrho^2}{4})$. Test (1.1) with $\varphi = \theta^2 \sigma(u - l)$ (formally)

The evolution part yields:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{Q_{\varrho}(z_0)} u \varphi, t &= \int_{Q_{\varrho}(z_0)} (u - l) \varphi, t = \int_{Q_{\varrho}(z_0)} (u - l) \theta^2 (\sigma, t (u - l) + \sigma(u - l), t) = \int_{Q_{\varrho}(z_0)} |u - l|^2 \theta^2 \sigma, t \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \int_{Q_{\varrho}(z_0)} (|u - l|^2 \theta^2), t \sigma = \frac{1}{2} \int_{Q_{\varrho}(z_0)} |u - l|^2 \theta^2 \sigma \sigma, t \leq \int_{B_{\varrho} \times (t_0 - \varrho^2, t_0 - \frac{\varrho^2}{4})} \left| \frac{u-l}{\varrho} \right|^2 - \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{B_{\varrho} \times (t_0 - \varepsilon, t_0)} |u - l|^2 \end{aligned} \quad (4.6)$$

the last inequality holds as $|\sigma, t| \leq \frac{2}{\varrho^2}$ on $B_{\varrho} \times (t_0 - \varrho^2, t_0 - \frac{\varrho^2}{4})$ and has sign on $(t_0 - \varepsilon, t_0)$.

As $\int_{Q_{\varrho}(z_0)} A(z_0, l(z_0), \mathbb{D}l) \mathbb{D}\varphi = 0$, for the main part holds

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{Q_{\varrho}(z_0)} A(z, u, \mathbb{D}u) \mathbb{D}\varphi &= \int_{Q_{\varrho}(z_0)} [A(z, u, \mathbb{D}u) - A(z, u, \mathbb{D}l)] \mathbb{D}\varphi + \int_{Q_{\varrho}(z_0)} [A(z, u, \mathbb{D}l) - A(z_0, l(z_0), \mathbb{D}l)] \mathbb{D}\varphi = \\ &\int_{Q_{\varrho}(z_0)} [A(z, u, \mathbb{D}u) - A(z, u, \mathbb{D}l)] \mathbb{D}(u - l) \theta^2 \sigma \\ &+ \int_{Q_{\varrho}(z_0)} [A(z, u, \mathbb{D}u) - A(z, u, \mathbb{D}l)] 2\theta \nabla \theta : (u - l) \sigma + \int_{Q_{\varrho}(z_0)} [A(z, u, \mathbb{D}l) - A(z_0, l(z_0), \mathbb{D}l)] \mathbb{D}\varphi \end{aligned} \quad (4.7)$$

Observe that assumption (1.4) and inequality

$$K(C + |A|^2 + |B|^2)^{\frac{r}{2}} \geq \int_0^1 (C + |s(A - B) + B|^2)^{\frac{r}{2}} ds \geq c(C + |A|^2 + |B|^2)^{\frac{r}{2}} \quad (4.8)$$

give

$$\begin{aligned} [A(z, u, \mathbb{D}u) - A(z, u, \mathbb{D}l)]\mathbb{D}(u - l) &= \int_0^1 \frac{\partial A}{\partial q}(z, u, s(\mathbb{D}u - \mathbb{D}l) + \mathbb{D}l)(\mathbb{D}u - \mathbb{D}l) \cdot (\mathbb{D}u - \mathbb{D}l) ds \\ &\geq \int_0^1 \lambda(1 + |s(\mathbb{D}u - \mathbb{D}l) + \mathbb{D}l|^2)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} |\mathbb{D}u - \mathbb{D}l|^2 ds \geq c[|\mathbb{D}u - \mathbb{D}l|^p + |\mathbb{D}u - \mathbb{D}l|^2] \end{aligned} \quad (4.9)$$

Summing up, equality (1.1) gives in view of inequalities (4.6), (4.7), (4.9) estimate

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{Q_\varrho(z_0)} |\mathbb{D}(u - l)|^2 \theta^2 \sigma + \int_{Q_\varrho(z_0)} |\mathbb{D}(u - l)|^p \theta^2 \sigma \leq K \int_{Q_\varrho(z_0)} \left| \frac{u - l}{\varrho} \right|^2 \\ &+ K \left| \int_{Q_\varrho(z_0)} [A(z, u, \mathbb{D}u) - A(z, u, \mathbb{D}l)] 2\theta \nabla \theta : (u - l) \sigma \right| + K \left| \int_{Q_\varrho(z_0)} [A(z, u, \mathbb{D}l) - A(z_0, l(z_0), \mathbb{D}l)] \mathbb{D}\varphi \right| = I + II \end{aligned} \quad (4.10)$$

where by (1.11) and (4.8)

$$\begin{aligned} I &= \left| \int_{Q_\varrho(z_0)} \left[\int_0^1 \frac{\partial A}{\partial q}(z, u, s(\mathbb{D}u - \mathbb{D}l) + \mathbb{D}l) ds \right] (\mathbb{D}u - \mathbb{D}l) 2\theta \nabla \theta : (u - l) \sigma \right| \leq \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{Q_\varrho(z_0)} [|\mathbb{D}u - \mathbb{D}l|^2 + |\mathbb{D}u - \mathbb{D}l|^p] \theta \sigma + C_M \int_{Q_\varrho(z_0)} \left| \frac{u - l}{\varrho} \right|^2 + \left| \frac{u - l}{\varrho} \right|^p \end{aligned} \quad (4.11)$$

and by (1.10)

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| \int_{Q_\varrho(z_0)} A(z, u, \mathbb{D}l) - A(z_0, l(z_0), \mathbb{D}l) \right| \mathbb{D}\varphi \\ &\leq \int_{Q_\varrho(z_0)} K(|\mathbb{D}l|)(d_p(z - \tilde{z}) + |u - l_0|)^\beta (1 + |\mathbb{D}l|)^{p-1} [|\nabla \theta| |u - l| + |\mathbb{D}u - \mathbb{D}l|] \theta \sigma \\ &\leq C(M) \int_{Q_\varrho(z_0)} [d_p(z - \tilde{z})^\beta + |u - l|^\beta + |l - l(z_0)|^\beta] \left[\frac{|u - l|}{\varrho} + |\mathbb{D}u - \mathbb{D}l| \right] \theta \sigma \leq \\ &C(M) \int_{Q_\varrho(z_0)} (\varrho^\beta + |u - l|^\beta + (\sup |\nabla l| \varrho)^\beta \left(\frac{|u - l|}{\varrho} + |\mathbb{D}u - \mathbb{D}l| \right)) \theta \sigma \\ &\leq C(M) \int_{Q_\varrho(z_0)} \left[\varrho^\beta |\mathbb{D}u - \mathbb{D}l| + \varrho^\beta \frac{|u - l|}{\varrho} + \frac{|u - l|^{\beta+1}}{\varrho} + |u - l|^\beta |\mathbb{D}u - \mathbb{D}l| \right] \theta \sigma \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4} \int_{Q_\varrho(z_0)} |\mathbb{D}u - \mathbb{D}l|^2 \theta \sigma + C_M \int_{Q_\varrho(z_0)} \left[\varrho^{2\beta} + |u - l|^{2\beta} + \left| \frac{u - l}{\varrho} \right|^2 + \frac{|u - l|^{\beta+1}}{\varrho} \right] \end{aligned} \quad (4.12)$$

where we estimate

$$\int_{Q_\varrho(z_0)} |u-l|^{2\beta} \leq \varrho^{2\beta} \int_{Q_\varrho(z_0)} \left| \frac{u-l}{\varrho} \right|^{2\beta} \leq \varrho^{2\beta} \left[C|Q_\varrho| + \int_{Q_\varrho(z_0)} \left| \frac{u-l}{\varrho} \right|^2 \right]$$

and

$$\int_{Q_\varrho(z_0)} \frac{|u-l|^{\beta+1}}{\varrho} \leq \varrho^\beta \left[|Q_\varrho| + \int_{Q_\varrho} \left| \frac{u-l}{\varrho} \right|^2 \right] \leq \varrho^{2\beta} \left[|Q_\varrho| + \int_{Q_\varrho(z_0)} \left| \frac{u-l}{\varrho} \right|^2 \right]$$

as $\varrho, \beta < 1$.

Summing up (4.10) and subsequent estimates one has

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{t_0 - (\frac{\varrho}{2})^2}^{t_0 - \varepsilon} \int_{B_{\varrho/2}(z_0)} [|\mathbb{D}(u-l)|^p + |\mathbb{D}(u-l)|^2] &\leq \int_{Q_\varrho(z_0)} |\mathbb{D}(u-l)|^2 \theta^2 \sigma + \int_{Q_\varrho(z_0)} |\mathbb{D}(u-l)|^p \theta^2 \sigma \leq \\ &C_{M,\beta,\lambda} \left[\varrho^{2\beta} |Q_\varrho(z_0)| + \int_{Q_\varrho(z_0)} \left| \frac{u-l}{\varrho} \right|^2 + \left| \frac{u-l}{\varrho} \right|^p \right] \end{aligned}$$

as this estimate is uniform in ε we have first part of the thesis. For the second part, use instead of $\sigma(t)$ more general function $\tilde{\sigma}(t)$ which falls from 1 to 0 at given point $\tilde{t}$, which is chosen arbitrarily from the interval $(t_0 - \frac{\varrho^2}{4}, t_0)$, i.e.

$$[0, 1] \ni \tilde{\sigma}(t) = \begin{cases} 1 & (t_0 - \frac{\varrho^2}{4}, \tilde{t} - \varepsilon^2) \\ 0 & (t_0 - \varrho^2, \tilde{t})^c \end{cases} \quad \begin{cases} |\tilde{\sigma}, t| \leq \frac{2}{\varrho^2} & (t_0 - \varrho^2, t_0 - \frac{\varrho^2}{4}) \\ \tilde{\sigma}, t < 0 & (\tilde{t} - \varepsilon^2, \tilde{t}) \end{cases}$$

performing computations as before with an exception, that the term $-\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{B_\varrho \times (\tilde{t}-\varepsilon, \tilde{t})} |u-l|^2$ which appears in an analogue of inequality (4.6) is now not neglected but used as Steklov average. $\square$

Definition 4.1. Define

$$v := \gamma_{C,\delta}^{-1}(u-l), \quad E_{z_0,l}(\varrho) = \psi_{2,z_0,l}(\varrho) + \psi_{p,z_0,l}(\varrho), \quad \tilde{E}_{z_0,l}(\varrho) = E_{z_0,l}(\varrho) + \varrho^{2\beta} \quad (4.13)$$

where u is a weak solution to (1.1), $l = l(x)$ is (as before) affine s.t. $|l(z_0)| + |\nabla l| \leq M$; $\psi_{2,z_0,l}(\varrho)$, $\psi_{p,z_0,l}(\varrho)$ are given by definition 3.1,

$$\gamma_{C,\delta}(\varrho) = C \sqrt{E_{z_0,l}(\varrho) + \delta^{-2} \varrho^{2\beta}} \quad (4.14)$$

Using v defined above we restate linearization lemma (Lemma 3.1) using Cacciopoli inequality (Lemma 4.1) in a way useful for further computations.

Corollary 4.2. Under assumptions of Lemmas 3.1, 4.1 it holds:

$$\left| \int_{Q_{\varrho/2}(z_0)} v \varphi_{,t} - \frac{\partial A}{\partial p}(z_0, l(z_0), \mathbb{D}l) \mathbb{D}v \mathbb{D}\varphi \right| \leq \left[\omega^2(M+1, \tilde{E}(\varrho)) + \tilde{E}(\varrho) + \frac{1}{2} \delta^2 \right]^{1/2} \cdot \sup_{Q_{\varrho/2}(z_0)} |\mathbb{D}\varphi| \quad (4.15)$$

and

$$\int_{Q_{\frac{\varrho}{2}}(z_0)} \left| \frac{v}{\varrho/2} \right|^2 + \gamma^{p-2} \left| \frac{v}{\varrho/2} \right|^p + |\mathbb{D}v|^2 + \gamma^{p-2} |\mathbb{D}v|^p \leq \frac{1}{K} \quad (4.16)$$

where K is a fixed constant.

Proof. (3.1) with (4.1) give

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{Q_{\varrho/2}(z_0)} (u-l)\varphi_{,t} - \frac{\partial A}{\partial q}(z_0, l(z_0), \mathbb{D}l)(\mathbb{D}u - \mathbb{D}l)\mathbb{D}\varphi \right| \leq \\ c_M \left[\omega \left(M+1, \phi_p \left(\frac{\varrho}{2} \right) \right) \phi_2^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\frac{\varrho}{2} \right) + \phi_p \left(\frac{\varrho}{2} \right) + \psi_2 \left(\frac{\varrho}{2} \right) + \left(\frac{\varrho}{2} \right)^\beta \right] \sup_{Q_{\varrho/2}(z_0)} |\mathbb{D}\varphi| \\ \leq c_M \left[\omega \left(M+1, c_M \tilde{E}(\varrho) \right) \tilde{E}^{\frac{1}{2}}(\varrho) + \tilde{E}(\varrho) + \varrho^\beta \right] \sup_{Q_{\varrho/2}(z_0)} |\mathbb{D}\varphi| \quad (4.17) \end{aligned}$$

By concavity of ω^p one has $\omega(M+1, c\alpha) \leq c^{\frac{1}{p}}\omega(M+1, \alpha)$, $c > 1$. Definition of $\gamma_{C,\delta}$ with proper choice of constant and (4.17) gives for $\delta < 1$:

$$\left| \int_{Q_{\varrho/2}(z_0)} v\varphi_{,t} - \frac{\partial A}{\partial p}(z_0, l(z_0), \mathbb{D}l)\mathbb{D}v\mathbb{D}\varphi \right| \leq \frac{1}{2}[\omega(M+1, \tilde{E}(\varrho)) + \tilde{E}(\varrho)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \delta] \sup_{Q_{\varrho/2}(z_0)} |\mathbb{D}\varphi|$$

which gives (4.15).

Inequality (4.16) comes from definition of $v, \gamma_{C,\delta}$ and Cacciopoli inequality:

$$\int_{Q_{\varrho/2}(z_0)} |\mathbb{D}(u-l)|^2 + \left| \frac{u-l}{\varrho/2} \right|^2 + |\mathbb{D}(u-l)|^p + \left| \frac{u-l}{\varrho/2} \right|^p \leq C_M \tilde{E}(\varrho) \leq \gamma_{C,\delta}^2$$

for any $\delta < 1$ and C in $\gamma_{C,\delta}$ chosen appropriately (it depends on C_M, M, K). $\square$

5. Caloric approximation

We define:

Definition 5.1. *Set of δ approximatively symmetric weakly caloric functions*

$$\begin{aligned} pH_\delta^A(Q_\varrho(z_0)) = \left\{ f \in L^p(t_0 - \varrho^2, t_0; W^{1,p}(B_\varrho(z_0))) : \right. \\ \left. \int_{Q_\varrho(z_0)} \left| \frac{f}{\varrho} \right|^2 + |\nabla f|^2 + \gamma^{p-2} \left[\left| \frac{f}{\varrho} \right|^p + |\nabla f|^p \right] \leq 1, \quad \left| \int_{Q_\varrho(z_0)} f\varphi_{,t} - A(\mathbb{D}f, \mathbb{D}\varphi) \right| \leq \delta \cdot |\mathbb{D}\varphi|_{L^\infty(Q)} \forall \varphi \in C_0^\infty(Q) \right\} \end{aligned} \quad (5.1)$$

Definition 5.2. *Set of symmetric caloric functions:*

$$\begin{aligned} pH^A(Q_\varrho) = \left\{ f \in L^p(t_0 - \varrho^2, t_0; W^{1,p}(B_\varrho(z_0))) : \right. \\ \left. \int_{Q_\varrho(z_0)} \left| \frac{f}{\varrho} \right|^2 + |\nabla f|^2 + \gamma^{p-2} \left[\left| \frac{f}{\varrho} \right|^p + |\nabla f|^p \right] \leq 2^{n+3}, \quad \int_{Q_\varrho(z_0)} f\varphi_{,t} - A(\mathbb{D}f, \mathbb{D}\varphi) = 0 \quad \forall \varphi \in C_0^\infty(Q) \right\} \end{aligned}$$

In the following lemma, inspired by works of L. Simon, we show that a function which is approximatively weakly caloric is indeed close to a caloric function in the L^p sense. The proof, up to few technicalities connected with symmetric gradient, is identical with its counterpart in [5].

Lemma 5.1.

$$\bigvee_{p \geq 2, \varepsilon > 0, \lambda > 0} \bigvee_{\delta > 0} \bigvee_{A \in S(\lambda), \gamma \in [0,1]} \left[f \in pH_{\delta}^A(Q_{\varrho}) \Rightarrow \bigvee_{h \in pH_{\varrho/2}^A(Q_{\varrho/2})} \int_{Q_{\varrho/2}} \left| \frac{h-f}{\varrho/2} \right|^2 + \gamma^{p-2} \left| \frac{h-f}{\varrho/2} \right|^p \leq \varepsilon \right]$$

where $S(\lambda)$ denotes set of λ -elliptic equibounded symmetrizing bilinear forms²

$$S(\lambda) = \left\{ A: \mathbb{R}^{M^2} \times \mathbb{R}^{M^2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \text{ bilinear, } a_{kl}^{ij} = a_{lk}^{ji}, A\xi^s \xi^s \geq \lambda |\xi^s|^2, \lambda^{-1} |\xi| |\eta| \geq A\xi \eta \bigvee_{\xi, \eta \in \mathbb{R}^{M^2}} \right\}$$

Proof. Let $Q := Q_1$.

(i) By contradiction, there exist $p \geq 2, \varepsilon_0 > 0, \lambda_0 > 0$ such that

$$\bigvee_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \bigvee_{A_k \in S(\lambda_0), \gamma_k \in [0,1]} f_k \in pH_{\frac{1}{k}}^{A_k} \wedge \bigvee_{h \in pH_{\varrho/2}^{A_k}(Q_{\varrho/2})} \int_{Q_{\varrho/2}} \left| \frac{h-f_k}{\varrho/2} \right|^2 + \gamma_k^{p-2} \left| \frac{h-f_k}{\varrho/2} \right|^p \geq \varepsilon_0 \quad (5.2)$$

By $\gamma_k \in [0, 1]$, definition of $H_{\delta}^A(Q)$ and $S(\lambda)$ we have following convergences for $k \rightarrow \infty$

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_k &\rightarrow \gamma \\ A_k &\rightarrow A \in S(\lambda_0) \\ f_k &\rightharpoonup f \text{ in } L^2(Q) \\ \nabla f_k &\rightharpoonup \nabla f \text{ in } L^2(Q) \\ \gamma_k^{\frac{p-2}{p}} f_k &\rightharpoonup \gamma^{\frac{p-2}{p}} f \text{ in } L^p(Q) \\ \gamma_k^{\frac{p-2}{p}} \nabla f_k &\rightharpoonup \gamma^{\frac{p-2}{p}} \nabla f \text{ in } L^p(Q) \end{aligned} \quad (5.3)$$

The fact A is symmetrizing comes from ${}^{(k)}a_{kl}^{ij} = {}^{(k)}a_{lk}^{ji}$. The last two limits can be identified thanks to previous convergences. Consequently by l.w.s.c of L^p norms one has

$$\int_Q |f|^2 + |\nabla f|^2 + \gamma^{p-2} [|f|^p + |\nabla f|^p] \leq 1 \quad (5.4)$$

Writing

$$\begin{aligned} \int_Q f \varphi_{,t} - A(\mathbb{D}f, \mathbb{D}\varphi) &= \int_Q (f - f_k) \varphi_{,t} - \int_Q A(\mathbb{D}f - \mathbb{D}f_k, \mathbb{D}\varphi) \\ &\quad - \int_Q (A - A_k)(\mathbb{D}f_k, \mathbb{D}\varphi) + \int_Q f_k \varphi_{,t} - A_k(\mathbb{D}f_k, \mathbb{D}\varphi) = I + II + III + IV \end{aligned}$$

one has that $I, II, III \xrightarrow{k \rightarrow \infty} 0$ by (5.3), $IV \xrightarrow{k \rightarrow \infty} 0$ as $f_k \in pH_{\frac{1}{k}}^{A_k}$ therefore

$$\int_Q f \varphi_{,t} - A(\mathbb{D}f, \mathbb{D}\varphi) = 0 \quad \bigvee_{\varphi \in C_0^\infty(Q)} \quad (5.5)$$

²A is symmetrizing, as $a_{kl}^{ij} = a_{lk}^{ji}$ implies $A\xi^s \eta = A\xi^s \eta^s$.

(5.5) and (5.4) imply that the limit f is in the set of symmetric p-caloric functions:

$$f \in pH^A(Q) \quad (5.6)$$

(ii) Assume for the time being that we have also strong convergences

$$f_k \rightarrow f \quad \text{in } L^2, \quad \gamma_k^{\frac{p-2}{p}} f_k \rightarrow \gamma^{\frac{p-2}{p}} f \quad \text{in } L^p \quad (5.7)$$

then we would have in view of (5.6) and (5.7)

$$\bigvee_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \bigvee_{A_k \in S(\lambda_0), \gamma_k \in [0,1]} f_k \in pH_{\frac{1}{k}}^{A_k} \quad \wedge \quad \bigvee_{f \in pH^A} \int_Q |f - f_k|^2 + \gamma_k^{p-2} |f - f_k|^p \rightarrow 0 \quad (5.8)$$

which is almost a contradiction to (5.2) with an exception, that we need there $h \in pH^{A_k}(Q)$ instead of $f \in pH^A(Q)$. We compensate this difference by decreasing cylinder and proceeding as follows. Definition $S(\lambda)$ and (5.6) allow to use Lemma 2.3 implying local smoothness of f . Consider a following linear boundary-value problem

$$\begin{cases} \omega_{,t}^k - \operatorname{div} A_k \mathbb{D} \omega^k = 0 & \text{in } Q_{3/4} \\ \omega^k = f & \text{on } \partial Q_{3/4} \end{cases} \quad (5.9)$$

by smoothness of f and Corollary 2.3 (ii) one has smoothness of ω_k . (5.9) and (5.5) give

$$\int_{Q_{3/4}} (\omega^k - f) \varphi_{,t} - \int_{Q_{3/4}} A_k (\mathbb{D} \omega^k - \mathbb{D} f, \mathbb{D} \varphi) = \int_{Q_{3/4}} (A_k - A) (\mathbb{D} f, \mathbb{D} \varphi) \quad (5.10)$$

because by (5.9) ω^k and f agree on the boundary, we can test (5.10) with $w^k - f$

$$\sup_{t \in (-(3/4)^2, 0)} |\omega^k(t) - f(t)|_{L^2(B_{3/4})}^2 + \int_{B_{3/4}} A (\mathbb{D}(\omega^k - f), \mathbb{D}(\omega^k - f)) = \int_{B_{3/4}} (A_k - A) (\mathbb{D} f, \mathbb{D}(\omega^k - f))$$

which by ellipticity of A and Korn inequality gives

$$|\omega^k - f|_{L^2} + |\nabla(\omega^k - f)|_{L^2} \leq K \left[\sup_t |\omega^k(t) - f(t)|_{L^2} + |\mathbb{D}(\omega^k - f)|_{L^2} \right] \leq K(A_k - A) \xrightarrow{k \rightarrow \infty} 0 \quad (5.11)$$

Observe that, in view of Lemma 2.4, $|\omega^k|_{L^2(Q_{3/4})}$ controls norms on $Q_{1/2}$ of ω^k of arbitrary high order (compare (2.13)). This and (5.11) yield

$$|(\omega^k - f)|_{L^p(Q_{1/2})} + |\nabla(\omega^k - f)|_{L^p(Q_{1/2})} \rightarrow 0 \quad (5.12)$$

We show now that ω_k contradicts (5.2) for large k . One has

$$\int_{Q_{1/2}} \gamma_k^{p-2} |w_k - f_k|^p \leq \int_{Q_{1/2}} |\gamma_k^{p-2} f - \gamma_k^{p-2} f_k|^p + \int_{Q_{1/2}} |w_k - f|^p \rightarrow 0 \quad (5.13)$$

where the convergence stems from (5.12) and (5.7). Similarly

$$\int_{Q_{1/2}} |w_k - f_k|^2 \rightarrow 0 \quad (5.14)$$

What's more $\gamma_k \rightarrow \gamma$, (5.11) and (5.12) imply

$$\int_{Q_{1/2}} |w_k|^2 + |\nabla w_k|^2 + \gamma_k^{p-2} [|w_k|^p + |\nabla w_k|^p] \rightarrow \int_{Q_{1/2}} |f|^2 + |\nabla f|^2 + \gamma^{p-2} [|f|^p + |\nabla f|^p] \leq 2^{n+2} \quad (5.15)$$

where the last inequality comes from definition of pH^A . The last inequality and (5.9) state that $\omega_k \in pH^{A_k}(Q_{1/2})$ for large k , whence (5.13), (5.14) show that ω_k approximates f_k in L^p . This contradicts (5.2), provided (5.7) really holds.

(iii) Now, using Lemma 1.2 we show strong convergences (5.7) as follows. By (5.2) $f_k \in pH_{\frac{1}{k}}^{A_k}$ so from its definition

$$\left| \int_Q \gamma_k^{\frac{p-2}{2}} f_k \varphi, t \right| \leq \lambda_0^{-1} \left(\int_Q |\mathbb{D}\varphi|^{p'} \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} + \frac{1}{k} \sup_Q |\mathbb{D}\varphi|$$

taking $\varphi = \xi(x)\eta_{s_1, s_2}^\varepsilon(t)$ with

$$\eta = \begin{cases} 1 & t \in [s_1, s_2] \\ 0 & t \notin [s_1 - \varepsilon, s_2 + \varepsilon] \\ \text{affine} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$\left| \int_B \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \left[\int_{s_1 - \varepsilon}^{s_1} \gamma_k^{\frac{p-2}{2}} f_k - \int_{s_2}^{s_2 + \varepsilon} \gamma_k^{\frac{p-2}{2}} f_k \right] \xi \right| \leq \left[\lambda_0^{-1} (s_2 - s_1 + 2\varepsilon)^{\frac{1}{p'}} + \frac{1}{k} \right] |\mathbb{D}\xi|_{L^\infty(B)}$$

so for a.e. s_1, s_2 , by $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$,

$$\left| \int_B (\gamma_k^{\frac{p-2}{2}} f_k(\cdot, s_2) - \gamma_k^{\frac{p-2}{2}} f_k(\cdot, s_1)) \xi \right| \leq \left(c(s_2 - s_1)^{\frac{1}{p'}} + \frac{1}{k} \right) |\nabla \xi|_{L^\infty(B)} \quad (5.16)$$

for $l - \frac{n}{2} > 1$ holds $C|\xi|_{W^{k,2}} \geq |\nabla \xi|_{L^\infty}$ so (5.16) and density argument imply

$$|\gamma_k^{\frac{p-2}{2}} f_k(\cdot, s_2) - \gamma_k^{\frac{p-2}{2}} f_k(\cdot, s_1)|_{W^{-l,2}(B)} \leq c \left((s_2 - s_1)^{\frac{1}{p'}} + \frac{1}{k} \right)$$

i.e.

$$\int_{-1}^{-h} |\gamma_k^{\frac{p-2}{2}} f_k(\cdot, t+h) - \gamma_k^{\frac{p-2}{2}} f_k(\cdot, t)|_{W^{-l,2}(B)}^p \leq c \left(h^{p-1} + \frac{1}{k^{p'}} \right) \quad (5.17)$$

Fix $\varepsilon > 0$. For $k > (2c/\varepsilon)^{\frac{1}{p'}}$ r.h.s. of (5.17) does not exceed ε for every $h \leq (\varepsilon/2c)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}$. For a finite number of initial k one can majorize l.h.s. of (5.17) by ε for every $h \leq h_0$ by properties of Bochner spaces. All in all it holds

$$\forall_{\varepsilon > 0} \exists_{h'} \forall_{h \leq h'} \int_{-1}^{-h} |\gamma_k^{\frac{p-2}{2}} f_k(\cdot, t+h) - \gamma_k^{\frac{p-2}{2}} f_k(\cdot, t)|_{W^{-l,2}(B)}^p \leq \varepsilon \quad (5.18)$$

This and uniform boundedness of $\gamma_k^{\frac{p-2}{2}} f_k$ in $L^p(W^{1,p})$ allows us to use Lemma 1.2 with choices $X = W^{1,p}$, $Y = L^p$, $Z = W^{-l,2}$ to get, up to subsequence, $\gamma_k^{\frac{p-2}{2}} f_k \rightarrow \gamma^{\frac{p-2}{2}} f$ in L^p . Similar argument for L^2 gives (5.7).

Scaling gives thesis for general $Q_\varrho(z_0)$. $\square$

6. Partial regularity

First we merge linearization (in form of Corollary 4.2) and a caloric approximation into a building block of further partial regularity result.

Definition 6.1. $l_\varrho^{(s)}(x)$ is an affine function which minimizes $\int_{Q_\varrho(z_0)} |u - l|^s$

Lemma 6.1. Let assumptions of Corollary 4.2 and Lemma 5.1 be fulfilled. Denote by C_0 constant C appearing in $\gamma_{C,\delta}$ in Corollary 4.2. Assume in addition that M is fixed by $l_\varrho^{(2)}$:

$$|l_\varrho^{(2)}(z_0)| + |\nabla l_\varrho^{(2)}(z_0)| \leq M \quad (6.1)$$

take $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, then there exist $\sigma > 0$, $\delta > 0$, such that if

$$\omega^2(M + 1, \tilde{E}_{z_0, l_\varrho^{(2)}}(\varrho)) + \tilde{E}_{z_0, l_\varrho^{(2)}}(\varrho) \leq \frac{\delta^2}{2C_0^2} \quad (6.2)$$

then for every $\varrho \in (0, 16\sigma]$

$$\tilde{E}_{z_0, l_{\sigma\varrho}^{(2)}}(\sigma\varrho) \leq \sigma^{2\alpha} E_{z_0, l_\varrho^{(2)}}(\varrho) + c\varrho^{2\beta}$$

Proof. Take parameters:

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon &= (4\sigma)^{n+p+4} 2^{1-2n}, \\ \delta &= \delta(\varepsilon) \text{ as in Lemma 5.1,} \end{aligned} \quad (6.3)$$

σ shall be fixed by the end of the proof. In view of (4.15) and (6.2):

$$\left| \int_{Q_{\frac{\varrho}{2}}(z_0)} v\varphi_t - \frac{\partial A}{\partial p}(z_0, l_\varrho^{(2)}(z_0), \mathbb{D}l_\varrho) \mathbb{D}v \mathbb{D}\varphi \right| \leq \delta_\varepsilon \sup_{Q_{\frac{\varrho}{2}}(z_0)} |\mathbb{D}\varphi| \quad (6.4)$$

Denote, as in Lemma 1.4, Korn's inequality constants as K_2, K_p , then it holds

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{Q_{\frac{\varrho}{2}}(z_0)} \left| \frac{v}{\varrho/2} \right|^2 + \gamma^{p-2} \left| \frac{v}{\varrho/2} \right|^p + |\nabla v|^2 + \gamma^{p-2} |\nabla v|^p &\leq \\ \int_{Q_{\varrho/2}(z_0)} \left[K_2 + \left(\frac{\varrho}{2} \right)^{-2} \right] |v|^2 + K_2 |\mathbb{D}v|^2 + \gamma^{p-2} \left[K_p + \left(\frac{\varrho}{2} \right)^{-p} \right] |v|^p + \gamma^{p-2} K_p |\mathbb{D}v|^p &\leq \\ [\max(K_p, K_2) + 1] \left[\int_{Q_{\varrho/2}(z_0)} \left| \frac{v}{\varrho/2} \right|^2 + |\mathbb{D}v|^2 + \gamma^{p-2} \left| \frac{v}{\varrho/2} \right|^p + \gamma^{p-2} |\mathbb{D}v|^p \right] &\leq \end{aligned} \quad (6.5)$$

therefore (4.16) with $K = [\max(K_p, K_2) + 1]$ gives:

$$\int_{Q_{\frac{\varrho}{2}}(z_0)} \left| \frac{v}{\varrho/2} \right|^2 + \gamma^{p-2} \left| \frac{v}{\varrho/2} \right|^p + |\nabla v|^2 + \gamma^{p-2} |\nabla v|^p \leq 1 \quad (6.6)$$

By definition (4.14) and (6.2) one has

$$\gamma_{C_0, \delta} = C_0 \sqrt{E_{z_0, l_\varrho^{(2)}}(\varrho) + \delta^{-2} \varrho^{2\beta}} \leq \frac{C_0}{\delta} \sqrt{\tilde{E}_{z_0, l_\varrho^{(2)}}(\varrho)} \leq 1 \quad (6.7)$$

(6.4), (6.6) and (6.7) state that $v \in pH_{\delta_\varepsilon}^A(Q_{\varrho/2})$ with $A = \frac{\partial A}{\partial p}(z_0, l_\varrho(z_0), \mathbb{D}l_\varrho)$ so in view of Lemma 5.1 there is $h \in pH^A(Q_{\varrho/4}(z_0))$ such that

$$\int_{Q_{\varrho/4}(z_0)} \left| \frac{h-v}{\varrho/4} \right|^2 + \gamma^{p-2} \left| \frac{h-v}{\varrho/4} \right|^p \leq \varepsilon \quad (6.8)$$

Using definition $v = \gamma^{-1}(u - l_{\frac{\theta\rho}{4}}^{(2)}(z_0))$ one has for $s \geq 2$ (which eventually take value 2 or p):

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{\theta\rho}{4}\right)^{-s} \int_{Q_{\frac{\theta\rho}{4}}} |u - l_{\frac{\theta\rho}{4}}^{(2)}(z_0)|^s &\leq 2^{s-1} \left(\frac{\theta\rho}{4}\right)^{-s} \left[\int_{Q_{\frac{\theta\rho}{4}}} |u - l_{\frac{\theta\rho}{4}}^{(s)}(z_0)|^s + |l_{\frac{\theta\rho}{4}}^{(2)}(z_0) - l_{\frac{\theta\rho}{4}}^{(s)}(z_0)|^s \right] \leq \\ 4^s \left(\frac{\theta\rho}{4}\right)^{-s} \int_{Q_{\frac{\theta\rho}{4}}} |u - l_{\frac{\theta\rho}{4}}^{(s)}(z_0)|^s &\leq 4^s \left(\frac{\theta\rho}{4}\right)^{-s} \int_{Q_{\frac{\theta\rho}{4}}(z_0)} |u - l_{\frac{\theta\rho}{4}}(z_0) - \gamma[(h)_{z_0, \frac{\theta\rho}{4}} - (\nabla h)_{z_0, \frac{\theta\rho}{4}}(x - x_0)]|^s \\ &= 4^s \gamma^s I \quad (6.9) \end{aligned}$$

where second inequality is valid in view of (1.16), Lemma 1.3, and third by minimization property of the chosen affine function. We estimate further as follows

$$\begin{aligned} I &= \left(\frac{\theta\rho}{4}\right)^{-s} \int_{Q_{\frac{\theta\rho}{4}}(z_0)} |v - (h)_{z_0, \frac{\theta\rho}{4}} - (\nabla h)_{z_0, \frac{\theta\rho}{4}}(x - x_0)|^s \\ &\leq 2^{2n+s+3} \theta^{-(n+s+2)} \int_{Q_{\frac{\theta\rho}{4}}(z_0)} \left| \frac{h-v}{\rho/4} \right|^s + 2^{s-1} \left(\frac{\theta\rho}{4}\right)^{-s} \int_{Q_{\frac{\theta\rho}{4}}(z_0)} |h - (h)_{z_0, \frac{\theta\rho}{4}} - (\nabla h)_{z_0, \frac{\theta\rho}{4}}(x - x_0)|^s \leq \\ &\quad 2^{2n+s+3} \theta^{-(n+s+2)} \varepsilon \gamma^{2-s} + 4^{s-1} \left(\frac{\theta\rho}{4}\right)^{-s} \int_{Q_{\frac{\theta\rho}{4}}(z_0)} |h - (h)_{x_0, \frac{\theta\rho}{4}}(t) - (\nabla h)_{z_0, \frac{\theta\rho}{4}}(x - x_0)|^s + \\ &\quad 4^{s-1} \left(\frac{\theta\rho}{4}\right)^{-s} \int_{Q_{\frac{\theta\rho}{4}}(z_0)} |(h)_{x_0, \frac{\theta\rho}{4}}(t) - (h)_{z_0, \frac{\theta\rho}{4}}|^s = 2^{2n+s+3} \theta^{-(n+s+2)} \varepsilon \gamma^{2-s} + 4^{s-1} \left(\frac{\theta\rho}{4}\right)^{-s} [A + B] \quad (6.10) \end{aligned}$$

The last inequality results from (6.8); denoting the mean integral over space emphasizes its time dependence, i.e.

$$(g)(t)_{x_0, \rho} = \int_{B_\rho(x_0)} g(x, t) dx$$

One has $(h - (h)_{x_0, \frac{\theta\rho}{4}}(t) - (\nabla h)_{z_0, \frac{\theta\rho}{4}}(x - x_0))_{x_0, \frac{\theta\rho}{4}} = 0$, so Poincare inequality in space followed by integration over time yields

$$A \leq K_P \left(\frac{\theta\rho}{4}\right)^s \int_{Q_{\frac{\theta\rho}{4}}(z_0)} |\nabla h - (\nabla h)_{z_0, \frac{\theta\rho}{4}}|^s \quad (6.11)$$

whereas

$$B = \int_{t_0 - (\frac{\rho\theta}{4})^2}^{t_0} \left| \int_{B_{\frac{\rho\theta}{2}}(z_0)} h(x, t) - (h)_{z_0, \frac{\rho\theta}{4}} dx \right|^s dt \leq \int_{Q_{\frac{\rho\theta}{4}}(z_0)} |h - (h)_{z_0, \frac{\rho\theta}{4}}|^s \quad (6.12)$$

Next, we use Lemma 2.4 to estimate r.h.s of both (6.11) and (6.12), obtaining for a global constants K, C_0

$$A + B \leq K \theta^s \left(\frac{\theta\rho}{4}\right)^s \int_{Q_{\frac{\theta\rho}{4}}(z_0)} |\nabla h|^s + \left(\frac{\theta\rho}{4}\right)^s \left(\frac{\rho}{4}\right)^s \int_{Q_{\frac{\theta\rho}{4}}(z_0)} \left| \frac{h}{\rho/4} \right|^s \leq \left(\frac{\theta\rho}{4}\right)^s \left[K \theta^s + \left(\frac{\rho}{4}\right)^s \right] \gamma_{C_0, \delta}^{2-s} \quad (6.13)$$

where the last holds because $h \in pH^A(Q_{\varrho/4}(z_0))$; (6.13) in (6.10) gives

$$I \leq \left[2^{2n-s+4} \theta^{-(n+s+2)} \varepsilon + K \theta^s + \left(\frac{\varrho}{4} \right)^s \right] 4^{s-1} \gamma_{C_0, \delta}^{2-s} \quad (6.14)$$

This with (6.9) and definition of γ , see (6.7) gives

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{\theta \varrho}{4} \right)^{-s} \int_{Q_{\frac{\varrho \theta}{4}}} \left| u - l_{\frac{\theta \varrho}{4}}^{(2)}(z_0) \right|^s &\leq \left[2^{2n-s+1} \theta^{-(n+s+2)} \varepsilon + K \theta^s + \left(\frac{\varrho}{4} \right)^s \right] 4^{2s-1} \gamma_{C_0, \delta}^2 \leq \\ &\left[2^{2n-s+1} \theta^{-(n+s+2)} \varepsilon + K \theta^s + \left(\frac{\varrho}{4} \right)^s \right] 4^{2s-1} C_0^2 \left[E_{z_0, l_{\frac{\theta \varrho}{4}}^{(2)}}(\varrho) + \delta^{-2} \varrho^{2\beta} \right] \end{aligned} \quad (6.15)$$

which used for $s = 2$ and $s = p$, by definition of $\tilde{E}_{z_0, l}$ and choice ε , see (6.3), takes for $\sigma = \theta/4$ the form

$$\tilde{E}_{z_0, l_{\sigma \varrho}^{(2)}}(\sigma \varrho) \leq \left[(1+K)(4\sigma)^2 + \left(\frac{\varrho}{4} \right)^2 \right] 4^{2s} C_0^2 \left[E_{z_0, l_{\sigma \varrho}^{(2)}}(\varrho) + \delta^{-2} \varrho^{2\beta} \right] \quad (6.16)$$

as $\alpha < 1$ one can fix σ so that

$$[(1+K)(4\sigma)^2] 4^{2s} C_0^2 \leq \frac{1}{2} \sigma^{2\alpha} \quad (6.17)$$

which fixes δ according to (6.3). As by assumption we have $\left(\frac{\varrho}{4} \right)^2 \leq (4\sigma)^2$, (6.17) in (6.16) implies thesis. $\square$

Next we iterate Lemma 6.1 and perform limit analysis around certain points, obtaining

Lemma 6.2. *Take $z_0 \in Q_T$ such that*

$$\liminf_{\varrho \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\varrho^p} \int_{Q_{\varrho}(z_0)} |u - l_{\varrho}^{(2)}|^p = 0 \quad (6.18)$$

$$\lim_{\varrho \rightarrow 0} |(u)_{z_0, \varrho}| + |(\nabla u)_{z_0, \varrho}| < +\infty \quad (6.19)$$

Then under assumptions of Corollary 4.2 and Lemma 5.1, u solving (1.1) has locally continuous symmetric gradients in vicinity of z_0 .

If one assumes that in addition hold structure conditions (1.9'), (1.9''), then u solving (1.1) for $n \leq 3$ has locally continuous (full) gradients in its vicinity of z_0 .

Proof. Take z_0 satisfying (6.18) and (6.19). In following considerations we drop dependance on this fixed z_0 . Assumptions (6.18), (6.19) and (1.14) imply that we can find sequence $\varrho_n \rightarrow 0$ for which holds for a certain $M < \infty$

$$|\nabla l_{\varrho_n}^{(2)}| \leq \frac{M}{4}, \quad |l_{\varrho_n}^{(2)}| \leq \frac{M}{4}, \quad \tilde{E}_{l_{\varrho_n}^{(2)}} \rightarrow 0 \quad (6.20)$$

where Hölder inequality is used to control ψ_2 in $\tilde{E}$ by (6.18). M and choice $\alpha \in [\beta, 1)$, fixes $\sigma > 0$, $\delta > 0$ by Lemma 6.1 such that

$$\omega^2(M+1, \tilde{E}_{l_{\varrho}^{(2)}}(\varrho)) + \tilde{E}_{l_{\varrho}^{(2)}}(\varrho) \leq \frac{\delta^2}{2C_0^2} \implies \bigvee_{\varrho \in (0, 16\sigma]} \tilde{E}_{l_{\sigma \varrho}^{(2)}}(\sigma \varrho) \leq \sigma^{2\alpha} E_{l_{\sigma \varrho}^{(2)}}(\varrho) + c\varrho^{2\beta} \quad (6.21)$$

Because 6.20 and monotonicity of ω fulfill the condition in 6.21 for every $n \geq n_0$ one infers that for a certain (small) ϱ_0 holds

$$\tilde{E}_{l_{\sigma \varrho_0}^{(2)}}(\sigma \varrho_0) \leq \sigma^{2\alpha} \tilde{E}_{l_{\varrho_0}^{(2)}}(\varrho_0) + c(\sigma \varrho_0)^{2\beta} \quad (6.22)$$

One now shows inductively

$$\tilde{E}_{l_{\sigma^j \varrho_0}^{(2)}}(\sigma^j \varrho_0) \leq \sigma^{j2\alpha} \tilde{E}_{l_{\varrho_0}^{(2)}}(\varrho_0) + c(\sigma^j \varrho_0)^{2\beta} \quad (6.23)$$

$$|l_{\sigma^j \varrho_0}^{(2)}| + |\nabla l_{\sigma^j \varrho_0}^{(2)}| \leq M \quad (6.24)$$

as this part is identical as respective part of proof of Lemma 4.9 in [5], we do not present it here. Now we show, for the above fixed ϱ_0 , σ , M that

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} (\nabla u)_{z_0, \sigma^j \varrho_0} =: \Gamma_{z_0} \quad (6.25)$$

exists and for $r \in (0, \frac{\varrho}{2})$

$$\oint_{Q_r(z_0)} |\nabla u - \Gamma_{z_0}|^2 \leq cr^{2\beta} \quad (6.26)$$

holds. We show (6.25), (6.26) in two steps. First for $\mathbb{D}u$ instead of ∇u in (6.25), (6.26), i.e.

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} (\mathbb{D}u)_{z_0, \sigma^j \varrho_0} =: \tilde{\Gamma}_{z_0} \quad (6.25')$$

exists and for $r \in (0, \frac{\varrho}{2})$

$$\oint_{Q_r(z_0)} |\mathbb{D}u - \tilde{\Gamma}_{z_0}|^2 \leq cr^{2\beta} \quad (6.26')$$

This can be proven along the lines of [5]; we redo these computations below, because we need them in the next step, that is showing (6.25), (6.26).

(i) Fix $r \in (0, \frac{\varrho}{2})$ and choose σ such that

$$\sigma^{j+1}(\varrho_0/2) < r \leq \sigma^j(\varrho_0/2) \quad (6.27)$$

Then by minimizing property of mean value (first inequality), Cacciopoli inequality 4.1 (middle inequality), (6.23) (third one)

$$\begin{aligned} \oint_{Q_r} |\mathbb{D}u - (\mathbb{D}u)_{Q_r}|^2 &\leq (1/\sigma)^{n+2} \oint_{Q_{\sigma^j(\varrho_0/2)}} |\mathbb{D}u - \mathbb{D}l_{\sigma^j(\varrho_0/2)}^{(2)}|^2 \leq (1/\sigma)^{n+2} \tilde{E}_{l_{\sigma^j \varrho_0}^{(2)}}(\sigma^j \varrho_0) \leq \\ &(1/\sigma)^{n+2} \left[\sigma^{j2\alpha} \tilde{E}_{l_{\varrho_0}^{(2)}}(\varrho_0) + c(\sigma^j \varrho_0)^{2\beta} \right] \leq C_{z_0} [\sigma^{j2\alpha} + \sigma^{j2\beta}] \leq C_{z_0} [r^{2\alpha} + r^{2\beta}] \end{aligned} \quad (6.28)$$

Similarly

$$\begin{aligned} |(\mathbb{D}u)_{\sigma^j \frac{\varrho}{2}} - (\mathbb{D}u)_{\sigma^k \frac{\varrho}{2}}| &\leq \sum_{m=j+1}^k |(\mathbb{D}u)_{\sigma^m \frac{\varrho}{2}} - (\mathbb{D}u)_{\sigma^{m-1} \frac{\varrho}{2}}| \\ &\leq \sum_{m=j+1}^k \left| \oint_{Q_{\sigma^m \frac{\varrho}{2}}(z_0)} \mathbb{D}u - (\mathbb{D}u)_{\sigma^{m-1} \frac{\varrho}{2}} \right| \leq \sum_{m=j+1}^k \sigma^{-(\frac{n}{2}+2)} \left[\oint_{Q_{\sigma^{m-1} \frac{\varrho}{2}}(z_0)} |\mathbb{D}u - (\mathbb{D}u)|^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq c \sum_{m=j+1}^k \sigma^{2\alpha m} (\tilde{E}_{l_{\varrho_0}^{(2)}}(\varrho_0))^{\frac{1}{2}} + c\sigma^{2\beta m} \leq c[\sigma^{2\beta j} + \sigma^{2\alpha j}] \end{aligned} \quad (6.29)$$

where the last but one inequality is obtained as (6.28). (6.29) states that $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} (\mathbb{D}u)_{\sigma^j \frac{\varrho}{2}} = \Gamma_{z_0}$ exists and that

$$|(\mathbb{D}u)_{\sigma^j \frac{\varrho}{2}} - \Gamma_{z_0}| \leq C[\sigma^{2\beta j} + \sigma^{2\alpha j}] \quad (6.30)$$

This combined with (6.28) results in:

$$\oint_{Q_r(z_0)} |\mathbb{D}u - \Gamma_{z_0}|^2 \leq \frac{1}{\sigma^{n+2}} \oint_{Q\sigma^j \frac{r}{2}(z_0)} (\mathbb{D}u \pm (\mathbb{D}u) - \Gamma_{z_0})^2 \leq C[\sigma^{2\alpha j} + \sigma^{2\beta j}] \leq C[r^{2\alpha} + r^{2\beta}] \leq Cr^{2\beta} \quad (6.31)$$

where the last inequality holds in view of (6.27); (6.31) gives (6.26'). This in view of imbedding of Campanato into Hölder spaces, gives for a small cylinder around z_0

$$\mathbb{D}u \in C^{\beta, \frac{\beta}{2}}(\tilde{Q}(z_0))$$

(ii) Now we show (6.25), (6.26). Let us (formally) estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \oint_{Q_r} |(\nabla u)_{x_0}(t) - (\nabla u)_{x_0}|^2 &= \oint_{t_0-r^2}^{t_0} |(\nabla u)_{x_0}(t) - (\nabla u)_{x_0}(s)|^2 dt = \oint_{t_0-r^2}^{t_0} \left| \int_s^t \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} (\nabla u)_{x_0}(\tau) d\tau \right|^2 dt \leq \\ &\left| \int_{t_0-r^2}^{t_0} \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} (\nabla u)_{x_0}(\tau) d\tau \right|^2 \leq r^2 \int_{t_0-r^2}^{t_0} |(\nabla u, \tau)_{x_0}(\tau)|^2 d\tau \leq r^4 \oint_{Q(r)} |\nabla u, \tau|^2 \end{aligned} \quad (6.32)$$

To derive bounds for the r.h.s. of (6.32), let us (again formally) time-differentiate system (1.1) and test with $u, \sigma^2 \theta^2$, where cutoff functions between $Q_{r/2}$ and Q_r are as in (4.4), (4.5), obtaining:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{B_r} |u_t \theta \sigma|^2 + \int_{B_r} \left[\frac{\partial A}{\partial t}(\cdot) + \frac{\partial A}{\partial u}(\cdot) u_t + \frac{\partial A}{\partial q}(\cdot) \mathbb{D}u_t \right] \mathbb{D}u, \sigma^2 \theta^2 &= \\ \int_{B_r} u_t \theta \sigma \sigma_t + \left[\frac{\partial A}{\partial t}(\cdot) + \frac{\partial A}{\partial u}(\cdot) u_t + \frac{\partial A}{\partial q}(\cdot) \mathbb{D}u_t \right] u, \sigma^2 \theta : \nabla \theta & \\ \sup_t \int_{B_{r/2}} |u_t|^2 + \int_{Q_r} \frac{\partial A}{\partial q}(\cdot) \mathbb{D}u_t \mathbb{D}u, \sigma^2 \theta^2 \leq & \\ 4 \int_{Q_r} u_t^2 \theta \sigma \sigma_t + \left[\frac{\partial A}{\partial t}(\cdot) + \frac{\partial A}{\partial u}(\cdot) u_t + \frac{\partial A}{\partial q}(\cdot) \mathbb{D}u_t \right] u, \sigma^2 \theta : \nabla \theta + \left[\frac{\partial A}{\partial t}(\cdot) + \frac{\partial A}{\partial u}(\cdot) u_t \right] \mathbb{D}u, \sigma^2 \theta^2 & \end{aligned} \quad (6.33)$$

This with ellipticity (1.4) and growth assumptions (1.9), (1.9'), (1.9''), where to use first we observe that already $\mathbb{D}u$ is Hölder continuous, so bounded, gives

$$\frac{1}{K} \left[\sup_t \int_{B_{r/2}} |u_t|^2 + \int_{Q_{r/2}} |\nabla u_t|^2 \right] \leq \sup_t \int_{B_{r/2}} |u_t|^2 + \int_{Q_{r/2}} |\mathbb{D}u_t|^2 \leq C \int_{Q_r} \left[\frac{1}{r^2} u_t^2 + C \right] \quad (6.34)$$

where the first estimate comes from Korn's inequality. Hölder's inequality used in (6.34) yields

$$r^4 \oint_{Q_{r/2}} |\nabla u_t|^2 \leq Cr^2 \left(\oint_{Q_r} u_t^2 \right) + Cr^4 \leq r^{2(n+2)(1-\frac{1}{q})-n} \left(\int_{Q_r} |u_t|^{2q} \right)^{\frac{2}{q}} + Cr^4 \quad (6.35)$$

we estimate r.h.s. of (6.35) as follows: take (6.34) for fixed $r_0 \geq r$ to obtain

$$\sup_t \int_{B_{r/2}} |u_t|^2 + \int_{Q_{r/2}} |\nabla u_t|^2 \leq C(r_0) \int_{Q_{r_0}} [u_t^2 + C] \quad (6.36)$$

parabolic embedding:

$$\int_Q |h|^{s+\frac{s^2}{n}} \leq \left(\sup_t \int_{B_r} |h|^2 \right)^{\frac{p}{n}} \left(\int_Q |h|^s \right) \quad (6.37)$$

with (6.36) gives

$$\int_{Q_{r/2}} |u_t|^{2+\frac{4}{n}} \leq C(r_0) \left(\int_{Q_{r_0}} |u_t|^2 + C \right)^{1+\frac{2}{n}} \quad (6.38)$$

Summing up (6.35), (6.38) with $2q = 2 + \frac{4}{n}$ takes the form

$$r^4 \fint_{Q_{r/2}} |\nabla u_t|^2 \leq r^{4-n} C(r_0) \left(\int_{Q_{r_0}} |u_t|^2 + C \right)^2 + Cr^4 \quad (6.39)$$

this plugged into (6.32) reads

$$\fint_{Q_r} |(\nabla u)_{x_0}(t) - (\nabla u)_{z_0}|^2 \leq r^{4-n} C(r_0) \left(\int_{Q_{r_0}} |u_t|^2 + C \right)^2 + Cr^4 \quad (6.40)$$

Estimate (6.43) allows to write the second inequality in the following estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \fint_{Q_r} |\nabla u - (\nabla u)_{Q_r}|^2 &\leq \fint_{t_0 - \frac{r^2}{4}}^{t_0} \fint_{B_r} |\nabla u - (\nabla u)_{B_r}(t)|^2 + \fint_{Q_r} |(\nabla u)_{B_r}(t) - (\nabla u)_{Q_r}|^2 \leq \\ &K \fint_{t_0 - \frac{r^2}{4}}^{t_0} \fint_{B_r} |\mathbb{D}u - (\mathbb{D}u)_{B_r}(t)|^2 + r^{4-n} C(r_0) \left(\int_{Q_{r_0}} |u_t|^2 + C \right)^2 + Cr^4 \\ &\leq K \fint_{t_0 - \frac{r^2}{4}}^{t_0} \fint_{B_r} |\mathbb{D}u - (\mathbb{D}u)_{Q_r}(t)|^2 + r^{4-n} C(r_0) \left(\int_{Q_{r_0}} |u_t|^2 + C \right)^2 + Cr^4 \\ &\leq C_{z_0} \left[r^{4-n} \left(\int_{Q_{r_0}} |u_t|^2 + 1 \right)^2 + r^4 + r^{2\beta} \right] \end{aligned} \quad (6.41)$$

where (6.28) justifies the last inequality. Recall that the above computations are highly formal. They can be justified using time-differences. The details of this method can be found in [8]. Inspection of proof of main result of [8] shows that we can claim also in our case that locally

$$\int_{Q_{r_0}} |u_t|^2 < \infty \quad (6.42)$$

which in tandem with (6.41) gives

$$\fint_{Q_r} |\nabla u - (\nabla u)_{Q_r}|^2 \leq C_{z_0} [r^{4-n} + r^4 + r^{2\beta}] \quad (6.43)$$

This allows us to repeat proof of step (i) and get for a small cylinder around z_0

$$\nabla u \in C^{\beta, \frac{\beta}{2}}(\tilde{Q}(z_0))$$

Observe that it seems also possible, for system with main part independent from u , to perform step

$$\mathbb{D}u \in C^{\beta, \frac{\beta}{2}}(\tilde{Q}(z_0)) \implies \nabla u \in C^{\beta, \frac{\beta}{2}}(\tilde{Q}(z_0))$$

using linear theory in spirit of Schauder estimates as presented for example in [11]. $\square$

Finally we can deduce the Lemma, which immediately implies Theorem 1.1

Lemma 6.3. *Assume that for system (1.1) hold structure assumptions (1.3 - 1.9), (1.10), (1.11) and (2.20)–(2.22) Take $z_0 \in Q_T$ such that*

$$\liminf_{\varrho \rightarrow 0} \int_{Q_\varrho(z_0)} |\nabla u - (\nabla u)_\varrho|^p = 0 \quad (6.44)$$

$$\lim_{\varrho \rightarrow 0} |(u)_{z_0, \varrho}| + |(\nabla u)_{z_0, \varrho}| < +\infty \quad (6.45)$$

Then thesis of Lemma 6.2 holds.

The only difference between this and previous Lemma is that condition (6.44) replaces (6.18). For this to be sufficient one uses Poincare-type inequality as in Lemma 4.12, cite[DMS], rewriting these computations. We do not show present them here, especially as they are similar to already presented in second part of Lemma 6.2.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. From existence of solution u to (1.1) in $L^p(W^{1,p})$ Lebesgue points of u and ∇u satisfy (6.44), (6.45) so by Lemma 6.3 one has thesis. $\square$

7. Conclusion

The natural next step is to perform analysis of Hausdorff dimension of the singular set. It would be also interesting to completely abandon quadratic theory and present analogous proof for degenerate system which generalizes

$$u_t - \operatorname{div}[|\mathbb{D}u|^{p-2} \mathbb{D}u] = 0. \quad (7.46)$$

using new results on parabolic approximation.

References

- [1] E. DiBenedetto *Degenerate parabolic systems*, Springer, 1993
- [2] S. Campanato, *Equazioni paraboliche del secondo ordine e spazi $\mathcal{L}^{2,\theta}(\Omega, \delta)$* Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. 73 (4), 1966, 55–102.
- [3] L. Desvillettes, C. Villani, *On a variant of Korn's inequality arising in statistical mechanics*, preprint.
- [4] F. Duzaar, G. Mingione, *Second order parabolic systems, optimal regularity and singular sets of solutions*, AIHP – AN 22 (2005), 705–751.
- [5] F. Duzaar, G. Mingione, K. Steffen *Parabolic Systems with Polynomial Growth and Regularity*, Memoirs A.M.S. 214, 2011
- [6] S. Eidelman, N. Zhitarashu, *Parabolic Boundart Value Problems*, Birkhäuser, 1998
- [7] M. Kronz, *Partial regularity results for minimizers of quasiconvex functionals of higher order*, AIHP – AN 19 (2002), 81–112.
- [8] J. Naumann, J. Wolf, M. Wolff *On the Hlder continuity of weak solutions to nonlinear parabolic systems in two space dimensions.*, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolin. 39 (1998), no. 2, 237255
- [9] J. Nečas, J. Malek, M. Růžička, M. Rokyta, *Weak and measure-valued solutions to evolutionary PDEs*, Chapman & Hall, 1996.
- [10] J. Pruess, D. Bothe *Lp-theory for a class of non-Newtonian fluids*, SIAM J. Math. Anal. 39 (2007), no. 2, 379421.
- [11] W. Schlag *Schauder and Lp estimates for parabolic systems via Campanato spaces.*, Comm. P.D.E., 21 (1996), no. 7-8, 11411175.
- [12] G. Seregin, O. Ladyzhenskaya *On partial regularity of suitable weak solutions to the three-dimensional Navier-Stokes equations*, J. Math. Fluid Mech. 1 (1999), no. 4, 356387
- [13] V. Solonnikov, *Lp estimates for solutions to the initial boundary-value problem for the generalized Stokes system in a bounded domain*, J. Math. Sci. 105 (5), 2001, 2448–2484.